

# Maladaptive Schema Scale Young Schema Questionnaire Aligned (MSS-YSQ) Schema Descriptions

### NovoPsych.com.au

Buchanan. B., Bartholomew. E., Smyth. C., Hegarty. D. (2024). Maladaptive Schema Scale Young Schema Questionnaire Aligned

The Maladaptive Schema Scale Young Schema Questionnaire Aligned (MSS-YSQ) is designed to meet the needs of mental health practitioners, building upon the foundational principles of the Young Schema Questionnaire (YSQ) while integrating cutting-edge psychometric advancements to enhance reliability and validity. This document provides a description of each of the 19 schemas to help to guide clinicians in their interpretation.

Abandonment / Anxious Attachment: "People will leave me"	3
Emotional Deprivation: "People aren't there for me"	4
Mistrust of Others: "I cannot trust people"	5
Social Isolation / Outsider: "I am different and don't belong"	6
Defectiveness / Shame: "I am unacceptable"	8
Vulnerability to Dangerous World: "I should be wary of the unsafe world"	9
Dependence: "I can't manage alone"	10
Low Self-Efficacy / Weakness: "I am weak and inept"	11
Failure / Achievement Inferiority: "I am not a successful person"	12
Enmeshment / Diffuse Boundaries: "Emotional intimacy means having few boundaries"	13
Subjugation / Submission to Others: "Others know better than me"	14
Self-Sacrifice: "I should put others first"	15
Approval-Seeking / Excessive Need to be Liked: "I need to be liked by everyone"	16
Emotional Inhibition: "I must suppress my emotions"	17
Pessimism / Negativity: "Disappointment is inevitable"	18
Unrelenting Standards: "I must perform exceptionally"	19
Punitiveness / Unforgiving of Self: "I should be punished for my mistakes"	21
Punitiveness / Unforgiving of Others: "Others should be punished for their mistakes"	22
Entitlement / Specialness: "I am special and unique"	23



### Abandonment / Anxious Attachment: "People will leave me"

This schema involves a fear of being abandoned or rejected, and in the context of romantic relationships, often manifests as insecurity about a partner's love and commitment. People with this schema anticipate that their meaningful relationships will not last. As such, they tend to be hypersensitive to perceived cues of abandonment and can misinterpret others' intentions in close relationships. They may excessively seek reassurance and validation from others, worry excessively about their relationships, and display clingy or dependent behaviours. They often perceive the availability of others to provide support to be unreliable and unpredictable. The schema also involves excessive worry about the absence of a significant relationship and heightened sensitivity to issues of reciprocity, commitment and care.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- As this schema involves pervasive expectations that others will become unavailable, abandon or reject them, people with this schema might engage in behaviours aimed at keeping others close, such as being clingy or reassurance-seeking. The behaviours can lead to others feeling smothered, thereby pushing them away, leading to the very abandonment or rejection they sought to avoid.
- People with this schema may have relationships with partners who are unreliable or avoidant, thereby reinforcing the schema.

### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -I fear that my important relationships will end unexpectedly.
- -I worry that people I love can't be there for me in a committed way.
- -I feel confident that other people will be there for me when I need them. (Reversed)
- -I worry about losing people that I rely on.

- This schema and attachment style may develop from early experiences with caregivers who were inconsistently available or unpredictably responsive.
- Caregivers were unstable or frequently withdrawn from the child, as may occur if a caregiver experienced depression, substance abuse, or anger, for example.
- The loss of a caregiver at an early age. For example, with the illness or death of a parent, or separation of parents.



# Emotional Deprivation: "People aren't there for me"

This schema involves the expectation that one's practical or emotional needs will not be adequately met within personal relationships. People with this schema often believe that others are generally inattentive to their emotional requirements and are not reliably present when support or advice is needed. This often coincides with feelings of discomfort about expressing emotions or discussing personal matters with others due to the expectation that others won't be supportive, reinforcing a sense of isolation. This expectation can drive a heightened sensitivity to possible evidence of neglect. Though this schema tends not to be associated with emotions of high intensity, feelings of emptiness or loneliness may be described. Relationships may be experienced as lacking depth and genuine connection, leading to pervasive feelings of being neglected and disconnected from others. People with this schema often describe having a 'normal' childhood, making the emotional deprivation schema one of the more challenging to detect.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- People with this schema may reenact the experience of deprivation, often having relationships with partners who are emotionally unavailable and thereby reinforcing the schema.
- Even in relationships with an emotionally available partner, people with this schema tend
  not to communicate their needs or emotions and subsequently feel hurt or disappointed
  as their needs go unmet, reinforcing the schema.

#### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -I have others I can depend on for advice and emotional support. (Reversed)
- -If I was in trouble, I wouldn't know who to call.
- -Other people don't care about my emotional needs.
- -I feel unsupported by others, so I wouldn't share my emotions.

- Emotional neglect during childhood is one of the most significant contributors to this schema. If caregivers fail to respond adequately to a child's emotional needs, the child may grow up feeling that emotional support and understanding from others are not available or forthcoming.
- Emotional neglect during childhood can present in different ways, such as a caregiver
  who was inconsistently available, or a caregiver who was physically or emotionally
  absent due to separation, divorce, death, health issues or chronic preoccupation with
  other issues.
- Experiences of rejection or abandonment by caregivers or important peers during childhood can contribute to the development of the belief that others will not meet one's needs.



• Children who feel overshadowed by siblings or other family members, perhaps due to the other's needs, illnesses, or characteristics being prioritised, can develop a sense of deprivation.



This schema involves the expectation that people are deceitful, unreliable and likely to hide their true motives. People with this schema typically believe that dishonesty and betrayal are common in interactions, fostering a general suspicion and wariness towards others. Feelings of anxiety and threat are frequently associated with this schema, alongside a heightened sensitivity to any signs of deception in others, even without objective evidence of such. This schema can impair even casual and friendly relationships, as their suspicion, guardedness, and defensiveness can be abrasive. Consequently, people with this schema may experience interpersonal difficulties or low levels of social support.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- Heightened suspiciousness can lead to an abrasiveness in interpersonal interactions, which others may respond to by distancing themselves or hiding their intentions, thereby reinforcing the schema.
- Some people avoid self-disclosures or relationships altogether to protect themselves from the anticipated hurt caused by the expected betrayal. Therefore, they do not have the opportunity to have corrective experiences that challenge the validity of the schema.

### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -People usually conceal their real intentions.
- -I don't trust people.
- -I don't believe what people say at face value.
- -People usually tell the truth. (Reversed)

- This schema typically emerges from experiences in childhood or adolescence where the individual directly experienced or repeatedly witnessed lying, cheating, manipulation or deception, usually by someone close to them such as a caregiver.
- Caregivers were distrusting of others and either modelled this to the child or explicitly warned the child not to trust others.



# Social Isolation / Outsider: "I am different and don't belong"

This schema involves feeling fundamentally different from other people, leading to a sense of not fitting in and rarely connecting with others. People with this schema feel excluded, not just on the level of individual relationships, but also believe that they are outsiders across broader social contexts. Even occasional connections with others do not typically alleviate the overarching experience of alienation and the distinct impression of not belonging with anyone. This perceived difference is typically not celebrated but rather is seen as a barrier to social connection. Individuals may feel that their interests, values, experiences, or characteristics are so different, undesirable or odd that others cannot understand, relate to or like them. As a result, they often feel isolated and lonely and may have low levels of social support.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- This schema might lead people to misinterpret neutral or ambiguous social cues as alienating, confirming their belief that they are outsiders.
- Surrendering to this schema (behaving, thinking, and feeling as though one truly is
  different or isolated) can lead to further avoidance, withdrawal, and isolation in order to
  avoid the pain of anticipated rejection. This limits the opportunity to have corrective
  experiences in social interactions to challenge the validity of the schema, and limits
  opportunities for social skills to be practised and improved.
- Some people with the social isolation schema overcompensate for their perceived differences (for example, by making excessive efforts to gain popularity), leading to feelings of inauthenticity, reinforcing the idea that they only fit in because they conceal important parts of themselves.
- The schema can be reinforced by a heightened sensitivity to differences between themselves and others, making them reluctant to interact and connect socially.

### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -I'm inherently different from everyone else.
- -I haven't met anyone that thinks like me.
- -I am typically accepted by people. (Reversed)
- -I am an outsider.

- Various experiences during childhood and adolescence, including parental rejection, criticism, or over-protection, can contribute to the development of the social isolation schema.
- Experiences whereby the child's family was observably different from others, for example, due to race, language, religion, or social status, led them to feel different.
- An observable difference between the child and their peers was evident and led them to feel different. For example, if there was a difference in the child's appearance or behaviour, as may be the case for a child experiencing developmental differences such as autism.



- Bullying or social rejection experienced during childhood.
- Frequent relocation in childhood, preventing the formation of a sense of belonging.
- Cultural or societal factors that perpetuate a feeling of difference.



### Defectiveness / Shame: "I am unacceptable"

Central to the defectiveness schema is the belief that one is inherently flawed and inferior, along with the emotion of shame. People with this schema may fear that self-disclosure or revealing themselves to others would lead to rejection as they believe themselves to be unlovable and unworthy of acceptance. The schema is often associated with a hypersensitivity to real or imagined signs of rejection or criticism. Similarly, it can cause one's flaws to be in hyper-focus, overshadowing any recognition of personal strengths or positive qualities. The nature of the perceived flaws may be internally experienced (relating to experiences such as thoughts, urges or emotions) or externally observable (such as concern about social skills or appearance). People with this schema often feel unwanted, self-conscious and insecure around others and have a deep sense of shame about their perceived defects.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- To protect from the feeling of shame associated with the exposure of one's defectiveness, people with this schema may avoid intimacy, thereby limiting opportunities for disconfirming the schema.
- Because they feel undeserving of love, acceptance or respect, people with this schema may inadvertently "click" with and become involved with critical people who reinforce their feeling of defectiveness.
- Some people may attempt to conceal or overcome their perceived defects by overcompensating with perfectionism, people-pleasing, or arrogant behaviour, which can reinforce the belief that their true self is flawed and unacceptable.
- Cognitive bias can maintain the defectiveness schema by overemphasising factors and experiences that confirm one's perceived defectiveness and dismissing those that are inconsistent, such as personal strengths or positive feedback.

### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -If people knew the real me, they wouldn't like me.
- -I am inherently defective.
- -My flaws make me unlovable.
- -I have reasons to be ashamed of myself and my character.

- Critical, shaming, punishing or rejecting caregiving experiences in early life.
- Unfavourable comparisons to others or preferential treatment towards a sibling.
- Being blamed or made to feel like a disappointment by a caregiver.
- Ostracism by peers.
- Childhood abuse.



### Vulnerability to Dangerous World: "I should be wary of the unsafe world"

This schema involves an exaggerated fear that catastrophe could occur at any time. This fear may be accompanied by the belief that the catastrophe cannot be prevented and that the consequences will be devastating. Furthermore, people with this schema typically expect that they will be unable to cope with it. The nature of feared catastrophic events can range widely, including illness, natural disasters, financial collapse, climate change or pandemics. This schema is characterised by feelings of anxiety and excessive worrying, related to the belief that the world is unsafe and unstable and serious hazards are inevitable.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- Hypervigilance and selective attention to disasters through online media can reinforce this schema.
- Avoidance of situations perceived to involve risk, which limits opportunities for disconfirming the schema.

### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -I'm afraid of venturing too far because there are so many bad things happening.
- -The world is safe for me. (Reversed)
- -The world is a dangerous and unforgiving place, and I worry it will spiral into catastrophe.
- -The world is a bad place and will harm me.

- Experiences in childhood that involved the excessive presence of danger.
- A significant adult projected intense anxieties onto the child, leading them to believe in a world that is excessively threatening.
- The child was repeatedly warned of the world's dangers or overprotected.
- The home environment was not physically, emotionally, or financially safe, and the child was not protected sufficiently.
- In adulthood or childhood, experiencing or witnessing a serious traumatic event (e.g., a car accident, severe illness, assault).



# Dependence: "I can't manage alone"

This schema involves a pervasive and excessive need to be taken care of by others, alongside behaviours and beliefs centred around a lack of self-sufficiency. Individuals with this schema often feel unable to handle daily life on their own, believing that they are not capable of coping. They may have difficulty trusting their own judgements and are indecisive. Typically, there is a heavy reliance on others for support, decision-making, reassurance and validation. People with this schema often feel anxious, helpless or inadequate when faced with the prospect of acting independently, which reinforces the dependence on others for most needs.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- Being in relationships that reward or reinforce dependent behaviour, including partners who prefer to take a caretaking role, may perpetuate this schema.
- The avoidance of independent coping, which is characteristic of the schema, can lead to a real skills deficit, reinforcing the schema's accuracy. This also limits opportunities for acquiring and practising skills for independent coping.

### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -I cannot take care of myself, so I need others to take care of me.
- -I feel incapable of managing daily tasks without help from others.
- -I often worry about making decisions on my own and prefer someone else to make them for me.
- -I feel confident making decisions on my own. (Reversed)

- This schema may be shaped by overprotective caregivers who did too much for the child, preventing them from learning necessary life skills and fostering a sense of dependency rather than encouraging independence.
- Caregivers who frequently criticised the child or undermined their ability to succeed independently.
- Observing and modelling behaviour from caregivers who themselves displayed dependent traits or were in highly dependent relationships.
- Through underprotective parenting or the inadequate provision of guidance, a child may need to become independent prematurely, making decisions and taking on age-inappropriate responsibilities without first establishing a sense of security and confidence in their abilities. This can lead to a lifelong echo, where they feel chronically out of their depth.
- Some family dynamics explicitly encourage dependency for cultural, emotional, or psychological reasons, where independence is viewed negatively or as a threat to family cohesion.



### Low Self-Efficacy / Weakness: "I am weak and inept"

Central to this schema is a pervasive doubt in one's ability to successfully handle challenges or solve problems. This schema is characterised by feelings of inadequacy and helplessness, hesitation to engage with challenges, and the sense that tasks are insurmountable and unlikely to be completed. This perception affects the confidence and willingness to take on new challenges, often leading to avoidance behaviours and a self-perception of helplessness or incompetence. People with this schema may view themselves as fragile, easily overwhelmed or incapacitated by stress or difficulties.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- Individuals with low self-efficacy may avoid challenging tasks, thereby missing opportunities to gain skills and experience, which could otherwise improve their self-efficacy.
- People may procrastinate or delay engaging with challenging tasks, leading to stress and under-performance. This aversive outcome reinforces the accuracy of the low self-efficacy schema.

### **Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:**

- -If a task is difficult, I'm unlikely to be able to accomplish it.
- -I can rarely come up with solutions to my own problems.
- -I can handle anything that comes my way. (Reversed)
- -Most problems are too hard for me to deal with.

- Overprotective parenting that prevents a child from facing challenges and learning from failures can inadvertently send the message that the child is not capable of handling difficulties on their own.
- Growing up with caregivers who are overly critical or who frequently dismiss the child's abilities can lead to internalised feelings of inadequacy and incompetence.
- Not receiving positive reinforcement or encouragement when trying new things.
- Repeated experiences of failures or setbacks in earlier life can contribute to a feeling of incompetence.
- Experiences of bullying, especially if frequent and unaddressed, can damage self-esteem and foster feelings of weakness and ineptitude.
- Being unfavourably compared to siblings or peers, particularly in visible and valued domains like academics or sports, can also lead to a persistent sense of inadequacy.



# Failure / Achievement Inferiority: "I am not a successful person"

The belief that one is inferior in achievement or status or fundamentally inadequate compared to others. Whether accurate or exaggerated, this belief underpins an expectation that one will inevitably fail in areas of achievement (education, career, relationships, financial status, etc.). There is often intense social comparison and a focus on extrinsic motivators for achievement. People with this schema may be hypersensitive to feedback and focus on their failings while discounting or dismissing areas of strength or accomplishments. Some individuals with this schema may believe their perceived lack of success is due to their own ineptitude, while others may believe it is due to external factors not within their control. External attribution may be protective of self-esteem but can also lead to a sense of helplessness or unfairness.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- This schema can lead to avoidance of challenges due to the fear of further failure. This
  avoidance can become a self-fulfilling prophecy, as refusing to engage in challenging
  activities can limit skills and successes.
- Selective exposure to highly successful individuals on social media may promote unfavourable comparisons.
- Cognitive bias can maintain the failure schema by overemphasising factors and experiences confirming their perceived failure and dismissing those that disconfirm the schema, such as personal strengths or successes.

### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- Most other people have achieved more than me.
- I feel proud of my accomplishments. (Reversed)
- I feel inferior when I think of the accomplishments of others.
- I compare my achievements with others and feel that I am not as successful.

- Caregivers who were overly critical or set unrealistically high expectations.
- Frequent unfavourable comparisons with siblings or peers.
- Growing up in environments where achievements were not recognised or praised or where caregivers themselves were highly successful.
- Experiencing significant failures or setbacks during formative years, such as educational or social struggles, can lead children to believe that they are a failure.
- Caregivers who ridiculed failure.
- Excessive exposure to success-focused social media without adequate contextual framing.
- Comparative lack of skill or achievement compared to peers, especially in an achievement-focused culture or family.



# Enmeshment / Diffuse Boundaries: "Emotional intimacy means having few boundaries"

This schema involves the belief that close relationships require a high level of contact to the point where individual boundaries are significantly diminished or absent. Sometimes involves the sense that there are blurry distinctions between the individual and significant others, such as parents, children, friends or partners. There is often an over-identification with each other's emotions and needs, which may reflect an excessive desire to care for, control or merge with the other person. As a result, individuals with this schema typically do not have a strong sense of their own independent identity. There is often over-involvement, or the desire for more involvement with other people, whether the other person reciprocates that desire or not. This schema typically leads to relationships where personal space, privacy, and individual autonomy are compromised under the guise of emotional closeness. There is a tendency to feel and absorb the emotions of others excessively and take responsibility for solving the other person's problems. Relationships are often characterised by dependency, where one or both parties feel they cannot function independently without the other (i.e., codependency).

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- This schema can be perpetuated through relationship dynamics where attempts to impose closeness on others leads to rejection or a clear assertion of boundaries, thereby reinforcing the idea that boundaries and a lack of closeness are the same thing.
- People with this schema may reenact the experience of enmeshment from their family of origin, often being attracted to and having relationships with partners who reinforce this sense of codependency.
- Some people avoid situations that require self-sufficiency or separation from an enmeshed other, thereby limiting the opportunities for developing a separate identity, healthy boundaries and independence.

### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -I am responsible for the emotions of the person I am closest to.
- -With those closest to me, I don't know where my needs and emotions end and where theirs begin.
- -I am so close to someone it feels like I have merged with them.
- -The needs of the person closest to me consume me.

- Growing up with parents who were overly involved and intrusive in their children's emotional lives, often under the belief that this was a form of love and care.
- In the family of origin, boundaries were not established or respected.
- A parent being the "best friend" to their child, oversharing, or relying on their child for emotional support.
- Within the family, attempts to individuate were met with accusations of disloyalty or other distress.



### Subjugation / Submission to Others: "Others know better than me"

A belief in the superiority of the judgement of others central to this schema fosters a deferential attitude where authority is rarely questioned. People with this schema rely on external guidance rather than personal insight or preference and tend to comply with instructions or norms without tuning into one's own needs or values. They often believe that conforming to the expectations and decisions of others is more important than exercising their own autonomy, and have difficulty communicating assertively. There is sometimes a build-up of resentment, anger or sadness that is rarely expressed. People with this schema may find it difficult to maintain boundaries in relationships and can find themselves in situations where others overly control them, further reinforcing the schema.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- People with this schema may reenact the experience of subjugation, having relationships with domineering, strong partners who reinforce the importance of submission.
- People with this schema tend towards passivity and avoid situations that involve identifying and asserting their needs, opinions, and preferences. This limits the development of self-awareness and skills, as well as limiting opportunities to disconfirm the schema.
- People with this schema may behave in overly compliant ways, prioritising others over themselves, and therefore reinforcing the power imbalance in relationships.

### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -Other people know better than I do.
- -I should always do as I'm told.
- -Other people know what is best for me.
- -I feel like I have to let others take control in relationships.

- This schema is sometimes caused by authoritarian parenting.
- Punishment of childhood self-expression or differences of opinion.
- The child was dominated or invalidated whenever they expressed feelings or needs.
- The child was not allowed to make their own decisions.
- A parental tendency towards volatility or unpredictable punishment.
- Caregiving in which approval and love were contingent on submission to authority.
- Observing a caregiver consistently subjugating themselves to others as a survival strategy in relationships.



# Self-Sacrifice: "I should put others first"

This schema involves the pervasive sense of obligation to prioritise the needs of others above one's own needs, preferences, or values. This view holds that one must always find time for others and have an unrelenting duty to serve. This can result in a cycle of neglecting one's own needs and well-being, endured in silence. Individuals may sacrifice their own needs in order to maintain a connection with others or as a way of avoiding difficult emotions such as guilt. They may be highly empathic and have increased sensitivity to the pain of others. People with this schema may develop resentment toward those who are taken care of due to the pervasive feeling that their own needs are not being met. However, they are likely to experience feelings of guilt if they do focus on their own needs. People with the self-sacrifice schema are more likely to tolerate needy or exploitative individuals, so may be more likely to find themselves in unsatisfying relationships.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- The schema can be perpetuated when the individual inadvertently reinforces others' dependency on the self-sacrificer or through moral justifications around the virtues of selflessness.
- People typically feel guilty in response to the resentment associated with this schema. To alleviate these feelings of guilt, people with this schema return to self-sacrificing behaviours, thereby perpetuating the cycle.
- Individuals who self-sacrifice often receive positive reinforcement from others, confirming the belief that their value lies in meeting the needs of others.
- Some people may avoid relationships or situations requiring the assertion or prioritisation
  of their own needs, thereby limiting opportunities to have corrective experiences that
  could disconfirm the necessity for self-sacrifice.

#### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -I always prioritise others no matter what's going on for me.
- -I believe it is my duty to listen to other people's problems.
- -My needs are as important as other people's needs. (Reversed)
- -No matter how much I give to others, I can never give enough.

- This schema is sometimes developed in response to early family dynamics where the expression of personal needs was discouraged.
- A child was parentified or had a significant caregiving role at a young age.
- There was extreme emphasis on selflessness and kindness as a virtue (e.g., religious or moral beliefs).
- The child was made to feel selfish, guilty, or bad if they prioritised their own interests.



### Approval-Seeking / Excessive Need to be Liked: "I need to be liked by everyone"

This schema involves a sense of self-esteem that is excessively reliant on acceptance, approval or reassurance from others. As such, one's sense of self tends to be shaped by the reactions of others and can lead to both an increased sensitivity to rejection and a tendency to make decisions that are not personally satisfying. People with this schema may not have a strong or authentic sense of their own identity, preferences and opinions, tending instead to modify them for the approval of others. In order to meet the need to be liked, people may have an excessive focus on achievement, status, appearance or other external measures of success.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- Exposure to social media that glorifies popularity can reinforce the idea that being liked by others is paramount. This can pressure individuals to make choices aimed at enhancing social image rather than fulfilling personal desires, leading to feelings of emptiness and thereby perpetuating the craving for social reinforcement.
- By surrendering to the schema (for example, changing or conforming in order to be liked), the belief that one's own views and desires are not as important or valid as others is perpetuated.
- Some people avoid self-disclosure or disagreements as a strategy for maintaining others' approval. This avoidance reinforces the belief in the importance of others' approval, limits opportunities for corrective experiences, and reinforces the dependence on external validation for self-esteem.

### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -Gaining the approval of others is often more important to me than following my own desires.
- -I want people to like me, so I would tend to agree with people even if I know they are factually wrong.
- -Even if I don't like someone, I still strongly desire for them to like me.
- -I find it hard to make a decision unless I know what other people think.

- The origins of this schema can include early experiences in which caregivers' love and attention were conditional on the child conforming to their expectations.
- The schema can be modelled for children in families that are overly concerned about outward appearances, status, or the opinions of others.
- In instances where the child had difficulty fitting in, they may have learned to behave as they believed others wanted/liked.



# Pessimism / Negativity: "Disappointment is inevitable"

This schema is characterised by a habitual and overwhelming focus on the negative, often to the exclusion of any positives. There is a tendency to see the worst in situations, anticipate negative outcomes, expect problems and ruminate on the negative details of past experiences. Typically, the likelihood of negative outcomes is exaggerated. People with this schema often believe that the worst outcomes should be anticipated to protect from disappointment and tend to overly focus on the things that could go wrong, even when things appear to be going well. People with this schema may be excessively risk-averse and often struggle with feelings of hopelessness, low mood, and anxiety about the future.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- The anticipation of a negative outcome can lead to the avoidance of taking risks or positive opportunities. As a result, there is a reduced opportunity to experience positive events that could disconfirm the schema, and a tendency to instead experience disappointing or unfavourable outcomes that reinforce the pessimism.
- Conversely, when negative expectations occasionally lead to vigilant behaviours that prevent negative outcomes, the initial fears can seem justified.
- People with this schema may behave in ways that increase the likelihood of negative outcomes, thereby creating a self-fulfilling prophecy. This is common in relationships, for example, where others can find the pervasive nature of the pessimism to be frustrating and withdraw.

### **Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:**

- -Things almost always go wrong for me.
- -In uncertain times, I usually expect the best. (Reversed)
- -Things inevitably don't go my way, so I prefer to expect the worst to avoid disappointment
- -I am pessimistic about the future.

- The schema may develop following experiences of significant hardship or adversity during childhood (e.g., poverty, early loss/grief, trauma).
- This schema can be learned through caregivers who modelled highly depressed, pessimistic, or cynical thinking.
- The child was not sheltered from harsh realities or required to take on adult responsibilities.
- Repeated failures that were not adequately addressed or resolved can contribute to the development of pessimism.



# Emotional Inhibition: "I must suppress my emotions"

This schema involves the restraint of emotions to avoid shame, a perceived loss of control or uncomfortable feelings. People with this schema may hold back feelings, avoid emotional engagement, or maintain a narrow emotional range in situations where a broader range of emotions would be typical or healthy. It involves a reluctance to express emotions, whether they are pleasurable or uncomfortable feelings (e.g. anger, joy, affection, or vulnerability). Individuals with this schema perceive emotions as unimportant or more detrimental than beneficial, leading them to ignore or suppress them as a protective measure. This suppression is often justified by an overemphasis on rationality and a devaluation of emotional experiences, leading to a stifled emotional life and difficulty in communicating their feelings and needs effectively. People with this schema may have trouble identifying their emotions, alexithymia or physical manifestations of emotions, such as muscle tightness or gastrointestinal symptoms. This schema can create barriers to intimate relationships and reduce overall emotional resilience.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- When an individual repeatedly suppresses their emotions and tension accumulates, they may inadvertently release them in an uncontrolled outburst. The intensity of the outburst can reinforce the perceived risk of emotional expression.
- Conversely, the belief that emotions are dangerous can be reinforced when an individual
  avoids expressing emotions and nothing negative happens (i.e., they don't face rejection
  or conflict). This absence of negative outcomes can wrongly affirm their belief that
  suppressing emotions is a safe and effective strategy.

### **Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:**

- -Tuning into my emotions is helpful. (Reversed)
- -My emotions do more harm than good.
- -Emotions are not useful, so I need to ignore them.
- -It is dangerous to feel emotions too strongly.

- The child learned to inhibit emotion as a result of experiences where emotional expression was discouraged or punished, or met with ridicule, shaming, or judgement.
- This schema can develop as a coping mechanism for overwhelming feelings associated with trauma.
- The child was expected to suppress spontaneous urges in favour of rigid rules, duty, rationality, ethics, or keeping up appearances.
- Parental emotional needs came first, so there was no space for the child to express themselves or develop emotionally.
- The child was exposed to expressions of emotion in a way that felt overwhelming or threatening.
- Cultural norms, including those related to gender, can shape beliefs about the appropriateness and meaning of emotional expression.



# Unrelenting Standards: "I must perform exceptionally"

This schema includes the belief that one must always pursue very high internalised standards of behaviour, performance and achievement. Striving to meet these expectations typically results in feelings of pressure. People with this schema tend to be hypercritical of themselves and may also project their high expectations onto and criticise others. Unrelenting standards typically present as an excessive attention to detail characteristic of perfectionism, or selective attention to mistakes and an underestimation of performance. People with this schema often have rigid rules across different areas of life, including unrealistically high work ambitions or ethical expectations. They may believe their worthiness is based on a high level of accomplishment and, therefore, have fragile self-esteem and find it difficult to slow down, relax or spend time on pleasurable activities. There can be a fear of failure or chronic dissatisfaction about achievements and a tendency to sacrifice personal health, relationships or leisure in the pursuit of productivity. This can lead to stress, burnout, health issues and strained relationships.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- Cognitive bias often maintains unrelenting standards via selective attention to mistakes and conversely dismissing, minimising or underestimating achievements.
- This schema can also be maintained by having extremely high expectations and goals that leads to a sense of continually falling short.
- Productivity or achievement based media such as books or interviews with high achievers may be consumed excessively, ostensibly to improve skills, but in fact reinforcing the expectation of extremely high standards.
- Unrelenting standards and subsequent achievements are often extrinsically rewarded (admiration, money) and reinforce the schema, even if they come at great personal cost (health, happiness).
- Burnout can perpetuate the belief in the need for high standards, as it might be
  interpreted as a sign that one needs to work even harder or manage time even more
  effectively in the future.

### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -If I make a mistake, I can let it go easily. (Reversed)
- -Achieving high standards is more important than my own happiness.
- -I should always perform at an extremely high level.
- -It is ok for me not to be a high performer. (Reversed)

- Caregivers affection was conditional on the child meeting high expectations.
- Caregivers shamed or criticised the child when they failed to meet the excessively high standards expected.
- Caregivers modelled unrelenting standards in their own achievements and relationship with self.



- Early experiences of low levels of achievement or feeling inferior to peers and associated feelings of shame.
- Cultural or wider social influences that perpetuate a culture of achievement.



# Punitiveness / Unforgiving of Self: "I should be punished for my mistakes"

This schema involves a belief that one should be punished or held accountable in some way for failing to meet expectations or making mistakes. The schema is characterised by harsh, relentless self-criticism and a difficulty or inability to forgive oneself. They might believe that being hard on themselves is the only way to avoid further mistakes or social rejection. Individuals with this schema find it difficult to be compassionate about their limitations, appreciate the normality of human imperfection and ignore extenuating circumstances related to mistakes. Feelings of guilt, shame or anger are often associated with this schema.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- This schema can be reinforced if harsh self-criticism is associated with the avoidance of a future mistake, and a causal relationship is inferred.
- Cognitive bias often maintains the unforgiving of self schema via selective attention to instances where mistakes are followed by criticism.
- The persistent, repeated engagement in self-criticism perpetuates the schema as opportunities to have corrective experiences that could challenge the necessity of this behaviour are limited.
- Feelings of guilt or shame associated with this schema can be misinterpreted as evidence that punishment or criticism is deserved.

### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -If something goes wrong, I shouldn't get away with it.
- -I try to be compassionate and understanding to myself when I make a mistake. (Reversed)
- -If I fail, I should suffer the consequences.
- -It doesn't matter how small a mistake I make is, I deserve to be punished for it.

- This schema may be caused by caregivers modelling a lack of forgiveness for themselves or others.
- The child may have experienced domination or been forced to apologise when they made mistakes.
- Caregivers who harshly punished the child and claimed this to be a consequence of the child's actions.
- Other early life experiences where making mistakes led to aversive, punishing consequences.
- Childhood abuse.



### Punitiveness / Unforgiving of Others: "Others should be punished for their mistakes"

This schema is characterised by the belief that other people should be harshly punished for their mistakes. People with this schema are typically intolerant of and impatient with anyone who fails to meet expected standards. They often struggle with forgiveness as they do not accept human imperfection or the various external factors that can contribute to mistakes. Low levels of empathy can be present, amplifying this difficulty with forgiveness. This schema is often associated with persistent feelings of anger and dissatisfaction in relationships where mistakes are inevitable, leading to interpersonal difficulties that detrimentally impact social support.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- Cognitive biases such as attribution bias, where individuals tend to attribute others'
  mistakes to internal factors (e.g., incompetence or malice) rather than external factors
  (e.g., situational factors or circumstances), can reinforce the belief that punishment is
  justified.
- This schema can be reinforced when one is harsh towards others, and the other person either modifies their behaviour or leaves, proving either way that their critical attitude was justified.
- It can also be reinforced through a sense of power or superiority gained when criticising others and can create a cycle of seeking out opportunities to criticise others to maintain this sense of control or superiority.

### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -People should be held to account for their failings.
- -I try to be compassionate and understanding to others when they make a mistake. (Reversed)
- -If someone fails, they should face the consequences.
- -People deserve to be disciplined for their mistakes.

- This schema may be caused by a caregiver modelling a lack of forgiveness for themselves or others.
- The child witnessed people being dominated or forced to apologise when they made a mistake.
- An excessive emphasis on adherence to rules or procedures during childhood.
- Other early life experiences where making or observing another person making mistakes led to aversive, punishing consequences.



People with this schema believe themselves to be superior to others. They feel that they deserve special treatment and believe that they are not bound by the usual rules others follow. Power and control are of high importance. Interpersonally, this can present as an excessive competitiveness toward or domination of others or attempts to control or influence others. The schema can include a sense of contempt for those considered less capable, special, or of lower status. Typically, people with this schema have difficulty tolerating limits or restrictions and believe that they should be free to act as they wish regardless of what is realistic or how it affects others. This schema is often accompanied by low levels of empathy or concern for others' needs or feelings and difficulty with reciprocity.

### **Examples of what maintains the schema:**

- Reinforcement of this schema may occur if individuals act in a demanding or assertive
  manner and their needs are met as a result. This perpetuates their belief in their right to
  special treatment and, over time, can lead to more pronounced entitled behaviours as
  they see the approach as successful.
- People with this schema typically do not present with distress or an awareness of the
  associated negative consequences of and harm caused by their beliefs and
  behaviour. As such, they are unlikely to be self-motivated to change or seek out
  situations or relationships that might challenge the schema.

### Examples of beliefs, assumptions or reactions related to the schema:

- -When I ask someone for something they should agree to it.
- -I am above the usual rules that others follow.
- -Other people should appreciate how unique I am.
- -I deserve special privileges.

- Growing up without boundaries around personal and social limits can lead to difficulties in recognising and respecting others' needs and rights.
- Children who are excessively pampered or given whatever they want by their caregivers may develop an expectation that similar treatment will continue in other contexts.
- Caregivers who insist that their child is special, and engage in self-sacrificial behaviour.
- If caregivers are inconsistent with consequences, permissive, or lack rules altogether, a child may learn that they can manipulate situations to get what they want.
- Early outstanding achievements, which were the primary source of validation from others.